

January 17, 1974

SENATOR KREMER: I'll withdraw my motion and pick them up one at a time as far as I'm concerned.

PRESIDENT: Okay. Now, Senator Nore.

SENATOR NORE: Mr. Chairman, on the first proposition, I would think it would not be too good for us because the Governor any-time could have a look over of a bureau and say look, now this isn't us, this is the Legislature. We get too much of that already.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stromer, your light's on. For what purpose do you rise? Senator Kremer's motion is to just introduce the bills one at a time. He's withdrawn the motion to suspend the rules and introduce all three bills.

SENATOR STROMER: Yes, Mr. President, as a member of the Public Works Committee, in reference to the one bill on the inspections I was going to just comment. I think this was also in part from a holdover bill we had during the interim and also this was a part of the suggestion of the committee with that holdover bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, a comment also to questions on the request, was it LB462, was that the number? The discussion we had in committee was essentially this. During my time here, we've had numerous legislative studies and attempt to have better coordination of inspection. Usually and certainly was caged last time. Nearly every department came in and pointed out problems with what was being proposed, at least as they saw it. The suggestion as far as the bill's concerned since these are all code agencies under the Governor, why don't we direct them to come in with the recommendations that they can live with them rather than objecting to the kind of proposal we've had in the past. Maybe we can find a way, we can cut down having three or four inspection cars coming into the same town. Inspectors into the same store every time, which disturbs a lot of citizens. It really was an effort then to require those agencies that have a function to really sit down and see if they could not work out something to be proposed to the 1975 session.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stromer, your light is still on. Do you care to be recognized again? Senator Clark, are you asking to be recognized?

SENATOR CLARK: I've talked to two other senators and found out what the real purpose of the bill is. Now, just this particular intent. It's a fact that the Executive department will not do this and this is directing them to do it. I will withdraw my objection because I'd like to have them do it. But it was just a request from them to do this. I didn't see any reason to put it on the Legislature but I would think it's a good idea if they do this and this in relation to LB50 last year. Is this right? Thank you.

PRESIDENT: All right, Senator Kremer. Do you have any further argument? Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President, I'd like to ask a question of Senator Warner beings he explained it.

PRESIDENT: Well, Senator Warner, do you yield to a question?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR BARNETT: I can understand what you're trying to do but I can't understand the direction you're going because in here you ask, you direct the Governor to make a critical analysis of his own code agencies. Now what kind of report are you going to get out of that? That would be the same thing as asking